arXiv:hep-th/9209122v1 29 Sep 1992

September 1992
Newton Institute Preprint NI92004

W_∞ Algebra in Two-Dimensional Black Hole

Tohru Eguchi

Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge CB3 0EH, England
and
Department of Physics, Faculty of Science,
University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113, Japan

Hiroaki Kanno

DAMTP, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 9EW, England

and

Sung-Kil Yang

Institute of Physics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan

ABSTRACT

We study the $SL(2;R)/U(1)$ coset model of two-dimensional black hole and its relation to the Liouville theory coupled to the $c = 1$ matter. We uncover a basic isomorphism in the algebraic structures of these theories and show that the black hole model has the same physical spectrum as the $c = 1$ model, i.e. tachyons, W_∞ currents and the ground ring elements. We also identify the operator responsible for the creation of the mass of the black hole.

In this article we discuss the $SL(2;R)/U(1)$ coset model of two-dimensional black hole [1] and its relation to the Liouville theory coupled to $c = 1$ matter. Making use of free field realization of $SL(2;R)$ current algebra we first show that the stress tensor of the coset theory is identical to that of the $c = 1$ Liouville theory up to BRST exact terms and thus there exists a basic isomorphism in the algebraic structure between these two theories. We next determine the physical spectrum (BRST invariants) of the black hole theory which turns out to be identical to that of the $c = 1$ two-dimensional gravity. Besides the standard tachyon states, there also exist discrete states in two-dimensional black hole which possess the same algebraic structure, the W_∞-algebra and ground ring, as in the $c = 1$ theory. Thus the black hole and $c = 1$ theory in fact seem to originate from the identical conformal field theory. We then try to identify the operator which is responsible for the creation of the mass of the black hole. We shall show that the mass perturbation operator is given by $\beta \exp(-2\sqrt{2}\phi)$ (β, γ, ϕ are the free fields used to realize $SL(2;R)$ current algebra), the screening operator of $SL(2;R)$ algebra. In the Liouville theory the perturbing operator is given by the cosmological constant $\exp(-\sqrt{2}\phi)$ and thus the black hole and $c = 1$ gravity theories are the same conformal thoeries but perturbed by different marginal operators.

Let us start from the free field realization of $SL(2;R)$ current algebra [2] given by

$$J_+ = \beta \ , \tag{1}$$

$$J_- = \beta\gamma^2 + \sqrt{2k'}\gamma\partial\phi + k\partial\gamma \ , \quad k' = k - 2 \ , \tag{2}$$

$$J_3 = -\beta\gamma - \sqrt{\frac{k'}{2}}\partial\phi \ . \tag{3}$$

Here β, γ are the commuting ghost fields with the dimensions $h = 1, 0$ and we have the operator product expansions (OPE's) $\beta(z)\gamma(w) \sim 1/(z-w), \phi(z)\phi(w) \sim -log(z-w)$. k is the level of the $SL(2;R)$ current algebra,

$$J_+(z)J_-(w) \sim \frac{k}{(z-k)^2} - \frac{2J_3(w)}{z-w} + \cdots \ , \tag{4}$$

$$J_3(z)J_\pm(w) \sim \pm\, \frac{J_\pm(w)}{z-w} + \cdots \;, \tag{5}$$

$$J_3(z)J_3(w) \sim \frac{-k/2}{(z-k)^2} + \cdots \;. \tag{6}$$

Then the Sugawara construction of the stress tensor reads

$$T_{SL(2;R)}(z) = \frac{1}{k-2}\,\{\frac{1}{2}J_+(z)J_-(z) + \frac{1}{2}J_-(z)J_+(z) - J_3(z)J_3(z)\} \tag{7}$$

$$= \;\beta\partial\gamma - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k'}}\partial^2\phi \;. \tag{8}$$

Let us next gauge away the $U(1)$ degrees of freedom and construct a coset theory $SL(2;R)/U(1)$ making use of the BRST method. We introduce a gauge boson X with $X(z)X(w) \sim -log(z-w)$ and form a BRST operator for the $U(1)$ symmetry,

$$Q_{U(1)} \;=\; \oint dz\xi(z)\big(J_3(z) - i\sqrt{\frac{k}{2}}\partial X(z)\big) \;. \tag{9}$$

Here ξ, η are anti-commuting ghosts with $h = 0, 1$, respectively, and obey $\xi(z)\eta(w) \sim 1/(z-w)$. Since the total J_3 current, $J_3^{tot} = J_3 - i\sqrt{\frac{k}{2}}\partial X$, has no central term,

$$J_3^{tot}(z)J_3^{tot}(w) \sim \text{ regular} \;, \tag{10}$$

$Q_{U(1)}$ is nilpotent

$$Q_{U(1)}^2 = 0 \;. \tag{11}$$

The process of gauging has introduced the new fields X, ξ, η and then the total stress tensor is given by

$$T_{SL(2;R)/U(1)} \;= \beta\partial\gamma - \eta\partial\xi - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k'}}\partial^2\phi - \frac{1}{2}(\partial X)^2 \;. \tag{12}$$

When we choose $k = 9/4$ or $k' = 1/4$, $T_{SL(2;R)/U(1)}$ has the central charge $c = 26$ and describes a critical string theory.

Now let us eliminate the β, γ, η, ξ fields from (12) so that the stress tensor has the form of the Liouville field (ϕ) coupled with the matter (X). It is easy to derive the following identity which is of basic importance in our analysis.

$$T_{SL(2;R)/U(1)} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi')^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k'}}(\partial^2\phi') - \frac{1}{2}(\partial X')^2 + \{Q_{U(1)}, -\partial(log\ \gamma)\cdot\eta\} \tag{13}$$

$$\phi' = \phi + \sqrt{\frac{k'}{2}}log\ \gamma\ , \tag{14}$$

$$X' = X + i\sqrt{\frac{k}{2}}log\ \gamma\ , \tag{15}$$

In (13) β, γ, η, ξ have in fact disappeared from the stress tensor except for the shift of the fields ϕ, X and the $Q_{U(1)}$-exact term. We shoud note that the commuting ghosts β, γ may be bosonized as

$$\beta = -\,i\partial v \exp(iv - u)\ , \tag{16}$$

$$\gamma = \exp(u - iv)\ , \tag{17}$$

with $u(z)u(w) \sim -log(z-w)$, $v(z)v(w) \sim -log(z-w)$. Thus $log\ \gamma = u - iv$. Note that it is possible to take fractional or negative powers of γ while the power of β is restricted to positive integers.

Now we consider the critical case $k = 9/4$ and further introduce the diffeomorphism ghosts b, c (with $h = 2, -1$),

$$T^{tot}_{SL(2;R)/U(1)} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi')^2 - \sqrt{2}\partial^2\phi' - \frac{1}{2}(\partial X')^2 - 2b\cdot\partial c - \partial b\cdot c + \{Q_{U(1)}, -(\partial log\ \gamma)\cdot\eta\} \tag{18}$$

BRST operator for diffeomorphism invariance is defined as usual by

$$Q_{diff} = \oint dz c(z)\big(T_{SL(2;R)/U(1)}(z) + \frac{1}{2}T_{gh}\big) \tag{19}$$

where $T_{gh} = -2b\cdot\partial c - \partial b\cdot c$. Note that $Q^2_{diff} = 0, \{Q_{diff}, Q_{U(1)}\} = 0$. Let us define our BRST operator as the sum

$$Q = Q_{diff} + Q_{U(1)} \ . \tag{20}$$

Then $T^{tot}_{SL(2;R)/U(1)}$ is further rewritten as

$$T^{tot}_{SL(2;R)/U(1)} \ = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi')^2 - \sqrt{2}\partial^2\phi' - \frac{1}{2}(\partial X')^2 - 2b'\cdot\partial c - \partial b'\cdot c + \left\{Q, -(\partial log\, \gamma)\cdot\eta\right\} \ , \tag{21}$$

where

$$b' = b + \eta \ \partial \ log \ \gamma \ . \tag{22}$$

Thus up to a BRST exact term, the stress tensor has exactly the same form as in the $c = 1$ Liouville theory

$$T^{tot}_{c=1} \ = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \sqrt{2}\partial^2\phi - \frac{1}{2}(\partial X)^2 - 2b\cdot\partial c - \partial b\cdot c \ , \tag{23}$$

at the vanishing value of the cosmological constant ($\mu = 0$). The shift of the fields eq. (14),(15) have a simple physical interpretation; ϕ' and X' are the charge-neutral versions of ϕ and X. In fact it is easy to check that ϕ' and X' are neutral with respect to the $U(1)$ charge $\oint J^{tot}_3(z)dz$ and thus describe the degree of freedom which live in the coset space $SL(2;R)/U(1)$. We notice that since γ does not generate a singularity when contracted with itself, ϕ', X', b', c have exactly the same OPE as ϕ, X, b, c. (21), (23) exhibit the basic identity in the algebraic structure of the gauged WZW and $c = 1$ theories.

Let us next turn to the discussion of the physical spectrum of two-dimensioanl black hole. Physical states are defined as cohomology classes of the BRST operator,

$$Q|phys\rangle = 0 \ , \quad |phys\rangle \simeq |phys\rangle + Q|any\rangle \ , \tag{24}$$

where Q is given by (20). It turns out that the BRST invariant states in $SL(2;R)/U(1)$ theory can be obtained from the known BRST invariants in the $c = 1$ theory simply by making use of the transformation (14) (15),(22) at $k = 9/4$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi' &= \phi + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} log\ \gamma\ , \\ X' &= X + i\frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}} log\ \gamma\ , \\ b' &= b + \eta\partial log\ \gamma\ . \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Tachyons:

In the $c = 1$ theory tachyon wave functions are simply given by the vertex operators

$$\exp(ip_X X)\exp(p_L\phi)\ , \tag{26}$$

with p_X, p_L satisfying the on-shell condition,

$$\pm p_X = p_L + \sqrt{2}\ . \tag{27}$$

In the black hole theory tachyons are given by

$$\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(-3p_X+p_L)}\ \exp(ip_X X)\exp(p_L\phi)\ . \tag{28}$$

(28) is simply the charge-neutral version of (26).

W_∞*currents*:

In the $c = 1$ Liouville theory certain special states appear at discrete values of the momentum [3,4,5,6,7,8]; current operators which form W_∞ (wedge) algebra are given by

$$W^{(+)}_{j,j} = \exp(i\sqrt{2}jX)\exp(\sqrt{2}(j-1)\phi)\ , \quad j = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \cdots \tag{29}$$

$$W^{(+)}_{j,m} = \big(\oint dw\exp(-i\sqrt{2}X(w))\big)^{(j-m)} W^{(+)}_{j,j}\ , \quad -j \le m \le j\ . \tag{30}$$

There also exist the “negative” current opeartors

$$W^{(-)}_{j,j} = \exp(i\sqrt{2}jX)\exp(-\sqrt{2}(j+1)\phi)\ , \quad j = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \cdots \tag{31}$$

$$W^{(-)}_{j,m} = \Big(\oint dw \exp(-i\sqrt{2}X(w))\Big)^{(j-m)} W^{(-)}_{j,j}\ , \quad -j \leq m \leq j\ . \tag{32}$$

Corresponding operators in the black hole theory are again obtained by the simple substitution (25). Explicitly some examples are given by

$$W^{(+)}_{0,0} = W^{(-)}_{0,0} = \gamma^{-1/2}\exp(-\sqrt{2}\phi)\ , \tag{33}$$

$$W^{(+)}_{1/2,1/2} = \gamma^{-1}\exp(\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}X)\exp(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi)\ ,\ W^{(+)}_{1/2,-1/2} = \gamma^{1/2}\exp(\frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}X)\exp(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi)\ , \tag{34}$$

$$W^{(+)}_{1,1} = \gamma^{-3/2}\exp(i\sqrt{2}X)\ , \quad W^{(+)}_{1,0} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\big(\partial X + \frac{3i}{2\sqrt{2}}\partial\gamma\cdot\gamma^{-1}\big)\ ,$$

$$W^{(+)}_{1,-1} = \gamma^{3/2}\exp(-i\sqrt{2}X)\ , \tag{35}$$

$$W^{(-)}_{1/2,1/2} = \gamma^{-3/2}\exp(\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}X)\exp(-\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\phi)\ , \quad W^{(-)}_{1/2,-1/2} = \exp(\frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}X)\exp(-\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\phi)\ , \tag{36}$$

$$W^{(-)}_{1,1} = \gamma^{-5/2}\exp(i\sqrt{2}X)\exp(-2\sqrt{2}\phi)\ ,$$

$$W^{(-)}_{1,0} = \beta\exp(-2\sqrt{2}\phi) \ \simeq \gamma^{-1}\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\big(\partial X + \frac{3i}{2\sqrt{2}}\partial\gamma\cdot\gamma^{-1}\big)\exp(-2\sqrt{2}\phi)\ ,$$

$$W^{(-)}_{1,-1} = \gamma^{1/2}\exp(-i\sqrt{2}X)\exp(-2\sqrt{2}\phi)\ , \tag{37}$$

It is easy to check that these are in fact invariant under our BRST operator (20) (to be precise, $cW^{(\pm)}_{j,m}$ are the invariant operators. $W^{(\pm)}_{j,m}$ is BRST invariant up to a total derivative.) Since ϕ', X' have the same OPE as ϕ, X, our $W^{(+)}$ currents above also form the same W_∞ algebra as known in the $c = 1$ gravity theory.

Ground ring:

In the $c = 1$ Liouville theory the ground ring elements appear as partners of W_∞ currents at a neighboring ghost number [6]. The black hole theory also possesses the ground ring elements whose generators as given by

$$x = \big\{(bc - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\partial X + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\partial\phi)\gamma^{-1/2} + (\partial\gamma + \eta c\cdot\partial\gamma)\gamma^{-3/2}\big\}\exp(\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}X + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi), \tag{38}$$

$$y =\big\{(bc+\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\partial X+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\partial\phi)\gamma+(-\frac{1}{2}\partial\gamma+\eta c\cdot\partial\gamma)\big\}\exp(-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}X+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi)\ , \qquad (39)$$

It is also easy to check that x, y are invariant under the BRST operator (20) and their explicit expressions (38) (39) agree exactly with those obtained from the known expressions of the $c = 1$ theory after substitution (25). Other elements of the ring are obtained by multiplying the generators (38) (39) and we obtain a ring isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}[x, y]$ as in the $c = 1$ theory.

What do these results mean on the relation between the gauged WZW and the $c = 1$ gravity theories? They seem to imply that these theories in fact originate from the common algebraic structure or conformal field theory but perhaps perturbed into different directions. In the Liouville theory the perturbing operator will be the world-sheet cosmological constant $\exp(-\sqrt{2}\phi)$ and the effects of the perturbation on the W_∞ algebra and the ground ring have been studied in detail [9, 10,11]. In the case of the black hole model, however, the perturbing operator responsible for the non-zero mass of the black hole has not been clearly identified (for previous attempts, see ref. [12,13]). In our search for the mass operator we take the following heuristic approach based on the analysis of a non-linear σ-model: we first write down an action which reproduces the stree-tensor of the coset theory (13) as

$$S_0 \ = \frac{1}{8\pi}\int d^2x\big(\partial\phi'\bar{\partial}\phi'+\partial X'\bar{\partial}X'\big)-\frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{2k'}}\int d^2x\sqrt{g}R^{(2)}\phi'\ , \qquad (40)$$

Here $R^{(2)}$ is the scalar curvature of the Riemann surface and we have dropped the $Q_{U(1)}$-exact term. We then look for an $(1, 1)$ operator which, when added to (40), transforms the flat target-space metric into that of a black hole. Such an operator must be of the form $F_{\phi\phi}(\phi', X')\partial\phi'\bar{\partial}\phi' + F_{\phi X}(\phi', X')\big(\partial\phi'\bar{\partial}\phi' + \bar{\partial}\phi'\partial X'\big) + F_{XX}(\phi', X')\partial X\bar{\partial}X'$: the new target-space metric becomes $G_{\phi\phi} = 1 + F_{\phi\phi}, G_{\phi X} = F_{\phi X}, G_{XX} = 1+F_{XX}$ which would describe a curved space-time. Thus the perturbing operator V must be linear in the derivative of the fields ϕ', X' (for both left and right components) and this requirement uniquely determines the operator V. In fact V, being a marginal perturbation, is given by the $(1,1)$ form $W^{(+)}_{j,m}(z)W^{(+)}_{j,m}(\bar{z})$ or $W^{(-)}_{j,m}(z)W^{(-)}_{j,m}(\bar{z})$. $W^{(\pm)}_{j,m}$ currents, however, are differential polynomials in ϕ', X' of degree j^2-m^2 (multiplied by a vertex operator) and hence only $W^{(+)}_{1,0}, W^{(-)}_{1,0}$ are linear in the derivative of the fields. Now $W^{(+)}_{1,0}(z)W^{(+)}_{1,0}(\bar{z}) \simeq \partial X'\bar{\partial}X'$ and hence is a trivial change of the radius of X'. Therefore $V = W^{(-)}_{1,0}(z)W^{(-)}_{1,0}(\bar{z})$ is the unique candidate for the black hole mass operator. As shown in (37), $W^{(-)}_{1,0}$ is just the screening operator of the $SL(2;R)$ current algebra

$$W^{(-)}_{1,0} = \beta \exp\big(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{k'}}\phi\big) \tag{41}$$

and expected to appear naturally in the theory. It has in fact been suggested to be the black hole mass operator by Bershadsky and Kutasov in ref.[12]. Up to a $Q_{U(1)}$-exact term $W^{(-)}_{1,0}$ may be written as

$$W^{(-)}_{1,0} \;=\; -\big(\sqrt{\frac{k'}{2}}\partial\phi' + i\sqrt{\frac{k}{2}}\partial X'\big)\exp\big(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{k'}}\phi'\big) \tag{42}$$

which we employ for the discussion of the mass perturbation. Then the perturbed action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S \;=& \frac{1}{8\pi}\int d^2x\big(\partial\phi\bar{\partial}\phi + \partial X\bar{\partial}X\big) - \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{2k'}}\int d^2x\sqrt{g}R^{(2)}\phi \\ &+ \frac{\mu}{8\pi}\int d^2x\big(\sqrt{\frac{k'}{2}}\partial\phi + i\sqrt{\frac{k}{2}}\partial X\big)\big(\sqrt{\frac{k'}{2}}\bar{\partial}\phi + i\sqrt{\frac{k}{2}}\bar{\partial}X\big)\exp\big(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{k'}}\phi\big) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

(We drop the prime on ϕ, X hereafter.) (43) gives a non-linear σ-model with the target-space metric

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\phi\phi} \;&= 1 + \frac{k'}{2}\mu\exp\big(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{k'}}\phi\big)\ , \quad G_{XX} \;=\; 1 - \frac{k}{2}\mu\exp\big(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{k'}}\phi\big)\ , \\ G_{X\phi} \;&= i\sqrt{\frac{k'}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{k}{2}}\mu\exp\big(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{k'}}\phi\big)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

together with the linear dilaton field

$$\Phi = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k'}}\phi \ . \tag{45}$$

It is easy to check that (44),(45) satisfy the equation of the vanishing one-loop β-function,

$$R_{ab} = D_a D_b \Phi \ , \quad a, b = \phi, X \tag{46}$$

in the leading order in $1/k$. Thus the mass perturbation (42) is in fact a marginal perturbation of the black hole theory.

In the leading order in $1/k$ the metric (44) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\phi\phi} &= 1 + \frac{k}{2}\mu \exp\big(- \sqrt{\frac{2}{k}}\phi\big) \ , \quad G_{XX} \ = \ 1 - \frac{k}{2}\mu \exp\big(- \sqrt{\frac{2}{k}}\phi\big) \ , \\ G_{X\phi} &= i\frac{k}{2}\mu \exp\big(- \sqrt{\frac{2}{k}}\phi\big) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

After diagonalization $\big(d\psi = dX + ik\mu/2 \exp\big(-\sqrt{2/k}\phi\big)\big(1 - k\mu/2 \exp\big(-\sqrt{2/k}\phi\big)\big)^{-1} d\phi\big)$ the metric (47) becomes the “two-dimensional Schwarzschild metric” discovered in [14],

$$ds^2 \ = \ \big(1 - \frac{k\mu}{2} \exp\big(- \sqrt{\frac{2}{k}}\phi\big)\big)^{-1} d\phi^2 + \big(1 - \frac{k\mu}{2} \exp\big(- \sqrt{\frac{2}{k}}\phi\big)\big) d\psi^2 \ . \tag{48}$$

Its scalar curvature is given by

$$R = \mu \exp\big(- \sqrt{\frac{2}{k}}\phi\big) \ , \tag{49}$$

and thus the curvature singularity exists at $\phi = -\infty$. We also note that the event horizon occurs at $\phi = \phi^* = \sqrt{k/2} \ln \mu k/2$. Thus the region $\phi^* < \phi < \infty$ describes the asymptotically flat region of the black hole, while $-\infty < \phi < \phi^*$ describes the region between the event horizon and singularity. We note that if one replaces k

by $k' = k - 2$ in (44) (as in ref. [1]) , it becomes equivalent to (47) and becomes a black hole in all orders in $1/k$. The coordinate transformation which brings the action (43) into that of the gauged WZW model is also easy to work out. By a simple change of variables [12]

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} log \cosh r + \phi^* \ , \\ \theta &= X + i log \tanh r \ . \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

(43) is transformed into

$$S_{WZW} \ = \ \frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^2x \big(\partial r \bar{\partial} r + \tanh^2 r \partial\theta \bar{\partial}\theta \big) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{g} R^{(2)} log \cosh r \ , \tag{51}$$

in the leading order in $1/k$. (51) is the effective action of the gauged WZW model obtained after eliminating the gauge field [1]. The presence of i (imaginary unit) in formulas (44) (50) is somewhat disturbing. However, it disappears when we go to the Minkowski convention ($X \rightarrow iX$) and there the change of variables seems well-defined.

We should remark that our results on the physical spectrum of the coset model $SL(2;R)/U(1)$ do not agree that those obtained by Distler and Nelson [15] based on a different method for quotienting the $U(1)$ symmetry. Extra physical states found in [15] in the coset model become BRST trivial states in our formulation.

In this paper we have considered the cohomology H_Q of the total BRST operator $Q = Q_{diff} + Q_{U(1)}$. We believe that the non-zero cohomology of $Q_{U(1)}$ is concentrated at the ghost number zero sector and hence H_Q agrees with that of $H_{Q_{diff}}(H_{Q_{U(1)}})$ where Q_{diff} cohomology is taken after computing the $Q_{U(1)}$ cohomology.

T.E. would like to thank Profs. M.Atiyah and P.Goddard for their hospitality at Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, University of Cambridge.

The research of T.E. and S.K.Yang is partly supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Area “Infinite Alalysis”.

REFERENCES

1. E. Witten, *Phys. Rev.* **D44** (1991) 314.
2. M. Wakimoto, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 605.
3. A.M. Polyakov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6** (1991) 635.
4. D. Gross, I.R. Klebanov and M. Newman, *Nucl. Phys.* **B350** (1991) 621.
5. I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6** (1991) 3273.
6. E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B373** (1992) 187.
7. B. Lian and G. Zuckerman, *Phys. Lett.* **B266** (1991) 21.
8. P. Bouwknegt, J. McCarthy and K. Pilch, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **145** (1992) 541.
9. S. Kachru, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A7** (1992) 1419.
10. J.L.F. Barbón, preprint CERN-TH 6379/91, January,1992.
11. Vl.S. Dotsenko, preprint CERN-TH 6502/92, PAR-LPTHE 92-17, May, 1992
12. M. Bershadsky and D. Kutasov, *Phys. Lett.* **B266** (1991) 345.
13. E. Martinec and S.L. Shatashvili, *Nucl. Phys.* **B368** (1992) 338.
14. G.Mandal, A.M.Sengupta and S. Wadia, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6** (1991) 1685.
15. J. Distler and P. Nelson, *Nucl. Phys.* **B374** (1992) 123.